

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,991	12/19/2001	Sean X. Pan	D/A0438Q1	8912
. 75	590 05/24/2004		EXAM	INER
Xerox Corporation			NOLAN, SANDRA M	
Patent Docume	ntation Center		<u> </u>	<u></u>
Xerox Square 20th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 Clinton Ave. S.			1772	
Rochester, NY 14644			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/020,991	PAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sandra M. Nolan	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	:s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lepty within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commu	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			101(4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			* *
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 H S C 4	\$ 119(a)_(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-19-01</u> .	(8) 5) Notice of (6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	')

Application/Control Number: 10/020,991

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claims .

Claims 1-20 are pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 19 December 2001 was considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 9-14, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by CH 672564A (the Swiss abstract).

The Swiss abstract teaches easily-made (advantage section) bus bars having cylindrical shapes (Figure 3) with flat centers and at least two raised areas circumferentially around the center (Figure 2). The bars are coated with epoxy using dip coating (first paragraph of the CH 672564A abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,991

Art Unit: 1772

- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herbert (US 5,683,742) in view of the Swiss abstract.

Herbert teaches the coating of substrates after treating them with nonwetting materials so that only portions of the substrates are coated. The substrates may be cylindrical (claim 1 of the patent) and may be dip coated (col. 5, line 31).

Herbert fails to teach substrates having the shape claimed.

The Swiss abstract is discussed above.

The references are analogous because both deal with coating cylindrical objects.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to employ the Swiss abstract's substrates in the coating processes of Herbert in order to produce coated cylinders in which only some areas are coated.

The motivation to employ the Swiss abstract's substrates in the Herbert coating processes is found in the advantage section of the abstract, where the Swiss busses are said to be easy to make.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,991

Art Unit: 1772

It is deemed desirable to make busses having uncoated areas thereon easily in order to facilitate handling.

The shape of the coated busses or portions thereof is deemed a matter of design/engineering choice.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sandra M. Nolan, whose telephone number is 571/272-1495. She can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, her supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at 571/272-1498.

The fax number for patent application documents is 703/872-9306.

S. M. Nolan

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1700

SMN/smn 10020991(20040518)